

**To:** Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** "Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil" [Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil]; Loor, Thalia" [tloor@louisberger.com]; Atmadja, Juliana" [jatmadja@louisberger.com]  
**From:** "Thompson, Scott"  
**Sent:** Tue 9/13/2011 3:11:29 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Decision on CSM in FFS

Alice: This sounds good and is consistent with how we think this task should be approached.

Regards, Scott

From: Yeh.Alice@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Yeh.Alice@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 11:06 AM  
To: Thompson, Scott  
Cc: Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil; Loor, Thalia; Atmadja, Juliana  
Subject: Decision on CSM in FFS

I've talked to Ray and we'd like to go with the path that we discussed on 9/7:

CSM will be Chapter 6 of RI and will consist of about 10 key concepts on which we are basing our decision (e.g., the river is approaching a geomorphological quasi-equilibrium).

LBG will draft the 10 concepts, with a few bullet points on each laying out why each concept is important and what information supports that concept. Please keep this concise.

LBG should seek input from HQL and Battelle on this first draft.

The draft list of concepts will be circulated to the rest of the team (EPA, LBG, HQL, Battelle) at least one week before a face-to-face meeting to consensus on the final list of concepts.

I would prefer to keep the meeting as small as possible, so that we can actually get something accomplished, so let's discuss attendance later.

Let me know if you have any questions.